

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/816,333

Applicant(s)

ACHARYA ET AL.

Examiner

Blanche Wong

Art Unit

2616

All Participants:

(1) Blanche Wong.

(2) Garth D. Richmond (Reg No. 43,044).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 August 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23-34

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Support for newly added claims 23-34 cannot be found on page 45, lines 12-22, of the specification, as stated in the Remarks, p.12, para. 2. Rather, support is found in para. [0057] and Table 2 of the specification.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)